

**Oxitec's Genetically Modified  
Mosquitoes:  
A Credible Approach to Dengue Fever?**



**March 2015**

The UK company Oxitec has conducted experimental open releases of genetically modified (GM) mosquitoes in the Cayman Islands, Malaysia, Brazil and Panama. Oxitec's releases of GM mosquitoes in the Cayman Islands and Malaysia have ceased but open releases in Brazil have continued since 2011 and started in Panama in 2014. Further proposed trials in both countries are currently suspended. This briefing summarises the concerns about the open releases conducted to date.

Oxitec's patented technique for genetically modifying insects is known as RIDL (Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal genetic system). All the company's open field experiments to date involve its OX513A strain of the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, which is genetically engineered to contain a red fluorescent marker and the RIDL 'conditional lethality' trait. The mosquitoes are genetically engineered to die at the larval stage in the absence of the antibiotic tetracycline, which acts as a chemical switch to allow breeding in the laboratory.

Oxitec's male OX513A GM mosquitoes are intended to mate with wild females and produce offspring which die as larvae. Releases of many millions or billions of GM males, vastly outnumbering the wild male mosquito population, are intended to reduce the total adult population of mosquitoes over time, as many of the GM offspring fail to survive to adulthood. The GM mosquitoes released in the experiments are of the *Aedes aegypti* species, which transmits the tropical disease dengue fever. There is as yet no evidence from any country that releases of GM mosquitoes can reduce the incidence of dengue fever.

Concerns about open releases of Oxitec's GM mosquitoes are outlined below.

**Claims about suppression of the wild mosquito population are largely based on unpublished results**

Oxitec has published no results from its experiments in Brazil in scientific journals, although it has been conducting these experiments since 2011 and has made frequent claims of success in press releases. Results from the Cayman Islands suggest this technology is very ineffective at reducing wild mosquito population numbers, requiring 2.8 million GM adult male mosquitoes to be released per week to suppress a wild population of only 20,000 mosquitoes (10,000 males).<sup>1</sup> Monitoring of populations has in any case been insufficient to establish whether wild males are simply moving to the control areas surrounding the releases. In the Cayman Islands, the mosquito population was observed to increase in the control area as the population in the release area decreased, and this is also seen in the very limited information available from Brazil.<sup>2,3,4</sup> In Malaysia, the single trial conducted did not examine population suppression as the numbers of GM mosquitoes released were far too small.

**Impacts on dengue fever have not been monitored and releases could worsen disease in endemic areas**

There has been no monitoring of the impacts on dengue fever of its GM mosquito releases in any country, despite a scientific consensus that assessing impacts on disease is essential

to assess the efficacy of new technologies.<sup>5,6</sup> Oxitec and its research partners in Brazil have both admitted that the experiments there (the largest ones conducted) are inadequate to assess the impacts on disease.<sup>7,8</sup> In February 2014, a dengue emergency was declared in Jacobina, Brazil, one of the areas where Oxitec conducted its experiments.<sup>9</sup>

There are a number of mechanisms through which releasing GM mosquitoes could make the impacts of the dengue virus worse, including:

- (i) In areas of high mosquito abundance, where dengue is endemic, reducing the frequency of biting can increase the incidence of the more serious form and often fatal of the disease, dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF), by reducing cross-immunity to the four different serotypes of the dengue virus, or increasing the incidence of dengue fever (DF) due to age-related effects (known as 'endemic stability').<sup>10,11</sup>
- (ii) Enabling an increase or expansion in territory occupied by the competitor species *Aedes albopictus*, an important vector for dengue and chikungunya in many countries which may be harder to eradicate than *Aedes aegypti*.<sup>12,13,14</sup> Brazilian experts have warned that dengue may mutate so that *Aedes albopictus* becomes a more important dengue vector in such circumstances.<sup>15</sup> The potentially devastating effect of a single mutation in the virus has already been observed with chikungunya.<sup>16</sup> *Aedes albopictus* has been responsible for concurrent epidemics of dengue and chikungunya in some countries and its presence can also extend the dengue season and perhaps introduce new viruses.<sup>17,18,19,20,21,22</sup>

In contrast, a new vaccine is expected to be available shortly which does not provide full protection from all dengue serotypes but which has been shown in clinical trials to reduce the incidence of severe disease (DHF) in vaccinated children by 81%, subject to confirmation by more research which is already underway.<sup>23</sup> Malaysia has abandoned trials of Oxitec's GM mosquitoes and plans to use the vaccine.<sup>24,25,26,27</sup> According to the World Health Organisation, at least five other vaccines are under development, some of which could show improved protection. A wide variety of other research continues, including into treatments.<sup>28</sup>

### **Poor or missing risk assessments**

Oxitec has a poor track record of meeting regulatory requirements, in particular, under European Union (EU) law it should provide a publicly available environmental risk assessment which meets European standards before exporting GM mosquito eggs to foreign countries, yet it has repeatedly failed to do so.<sup>29,30,31</sup> The company has been criticised by independent scientists for the poor quality of its risk assessments for the Cayman Islands and Malaysia and lack of transparency and public consultation.<sup>32</sup>

The UK Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) has admitted that Oxitec breached UK and EU regulations implementing the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety when it failed to provide a risk assessment to the Panamanian authorities prior to exporting GM mosquito eggs to Panama for open release, but Defra says it will not enforce the regulation because Panama did not want the risk assessment.<sup>33</sup> The Department has been warned about the importance of the regulation by the EU authorities.<sup>34</sup> The Gorgas Institute, which acts as Oxitec's partner for its experiments in Panama, has produced a risk assessment, but this is clearly marked "Uso confinado" (confined use) and does not meet EU or international standards for open release of GM insects.<sup>35</sup> Panama has not supplied any risk assessment documents to the Cartagena Protocol's Biosafety Clearing House.

In Brazil, the risk assessment included in the documents when GM mosquitoes were exported for open release was produced by Oxitec's partner the University of São Paulo, not by the exporter, and omits most of the issues required to be covered prior to export under

EU law.<sup>36</sup> This is also in breach of UK and EU legal requirements. Brazil supplied risk assessment documents to the Cartagena Protocol's Biosafety Clearing House only in August 2014, more than three years after starting open release experiments.<sup>37</sup> The summary risk assessment relates to the decision by Brazil's biosafety regulator CTNBio to approve commercial releases, although commercial releases have yet to be approved by Brazil's health surveillance authority, ANVISA. A brief dissenting opinion is included, highlighting the lack of consensus on some issues, and the Brazilian Public Health Association, ABRASCO, has also criticised Oxitec's approach.<sup>38</sup> Attempts to continue releases in Brazil without ANVISA's approval have been suspended.<sup>39</sup>

It is widely recognised that fully informed consent from the public is needed for releases of genetically modified mosquitoes.<sup>40,41</sup> However, in the absence of a comprehensive published risk assessment, participants in GM mosquito experiments cannot be fully informed about the risks.

### **Release, survival and spread of GM insects, including biting females**

There are a number of mechanisms through which Oxitec's GM mosquitoes can survive and spread, including by feeding in areas contaminated with the antibiotic tetracycline, which is widely used in medicine and agriculture. In the laboratory, 3% of the offspring of Oxitec's GM mosquitoes survive to adulthood, even in the absence of the antidote tetracycline.<sup>42</sup> When GM mosquitoes were fed cat food containing industrially farmed chicken, which contains the antibiotic tetracycline, the survival rate increased to 15-18%. Oxitec originally hid this information<sup>43</sup> but later admitted to an 18% survival rate of larvae fed on cat food in a published paper.<sup>44</sup> Oxitec claims that this survival rate will not happen in the wild because the GM larvae will breed only in clean water. However, a number of studies have found that *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes can breed in septic tanks where there can be high levels of contamination with antibiotics such as tetracycline.<sup>45,46,47,48,49,50</sup> *Ae. aegypti* also commonly live in areas where discarded takeaways are likely to contain meat contaminated with tetracycline.

It is also inevitable that some biting female GM mosquitoes will be released and others will survive and breed. In the Cayman Islands, mechanical sorting led to about 5,000 biting female mosquitoes in every million males (additional sorting was then performed by hand before release).<sup>51</sup> In Brazil, Oxitec report that female contamination was on average 0.02% i.e. about 200 biting female GM mosquitoes were released in every million males.<sup>52</sup> The percentage of surviving GM insects, including biting females, could also increase if resistance to the genetic killing mechanism evolves over time: for example, genetic mutations in the insects which allow the GM insects to survive and breed successfully could be rapidly selected for during mass production.<sup>53,54</sup>

### **Potential toxic or allergic effects, impacting humans, animals or wildlife**

In addition to the risk of being bitten by GM female mosquitoes, journalists have reported that in Brazil "...it's impossible to talk during the liberation sessions without accidentally swallowing a few..." due to the very large numbers of GM mosquitoes released to try to swamp the wild population.<sup>55</sup> Risk assessments in Panama and Brazil have included claims that the proteins produced in the GM mosquitoes do not cause toxic or allergic reactions when eaten and are not expressed in the mosquitoes' saliva, so can't be passed on by biting by those female GM mosquitoes that are accidentally released or survive to adulthood. However, there is little public information to support these claims and Oxitec has provided no data to demonstrate that the tTA protein expressed by its GM mosquitoes will not be harmful to humans or animals. Signs of toxicity<sup>56</sup> and neurotoxicity<sup>57</sup> have been reported in mice expressing the tTA protein, yet these papers are not cited in the risk assessments. In Spain, Oxitec has withdrawn an application to release GM olive flies while it undertakes further testing demanded by the regulators, including tests of toxicity to other species that might eat these insects.<sup>58</sup>

## Spread of antibiotic resistance into the environment

The use of tetracycline to breed the GM mosquitoes in the lab or in factories for large-scale production carries the risk of spreading antibiotic resistance, which could pose a major risk to human and animal health.<sup>59</sup> Insect guts are reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes with potential for dissemination.<sup>60,61</sup> Insect production in factories exposed to antibiotics could lead to drug resistance in their microbiota so that the insects disseminate antibiotic resistance when released into the environment.<sup>62,63</sup> For example, swallowing or being bitten by GM mosquitoes might transfer antibiotic resistance from bacteria in the insect's gut or salivary glands into bacteria in human or animal guts or bloodstreams which cause disease. If these bacteria become resistant to tetracycline as a result, some human or animal diseases may become difficult to treat. This issue has not been considered in risk assessments in either Panama or Brazil.

## Use of non-native strains

Oxitec's GM mosquitoes have been developed from a non-native strain. In the Cayman Islands, the OX513A insertion in *Aedes aegypti* (originally developed from a Rockefeller strain<sup>64</sup>) was introgressed into a Mexico-derived genetic background by five generations of back-crossing;<sup>65</sup> it appears that this same strain was then used in Brazil and probably also in Panama. Oxitec has not published any information about the origins of the Mexican strain and it does not appear to have tested the back-crossed strain for insecticide-resistance or disease transmission properties. If the genetically modified strain is a more effective vector of disease than the established strain where it is introduced, this could pose a risk.

### GeneWatch UK

60 Lightwood Road, Buxton, Derbyshire, SK17 7BB

Phone: 01298 24300

Email: [mail@genewatch.org](mailto:mail@genewatch.org) Website: [www.genewatch.org](http://www.genewatch.org)

Registered in England and Wales Company Number 3556885

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Winskill P, Harris AF, Morgan SA, *et al.* (2014) Genetic control of *Aedes aegypti*: data-driven modelling to assess the effect of releasing different life stages and the potential for long-term suppression. *Parasites & Vectors* **7**(1):68.
- <sup>2</sup> Harris AF, McKemey AR, Nimmo D, Curtis Z, Black I, Morgan SA, Oviedo MN, Lacroix R, Naish N, Morrison NI, Collado A, Stevenson J, Scaife S, Dafa'alla T, Fu G, Phillips C, Miles A, Raduan N, Kelly N, Beech C, Donnelly CA, Petrie WD, Alpey L (2012) Successful suppression of a field mosquito population by sustained release of engineered male mosquitoes. *Nat. Biotech.*, **30**(9), 828–830.
- <sup>3</sup> PAT (2012) Transgenic Aedes Project Progress Report, Feb 2011-Mar 2012.
- <sup>4</sup> Dengue Fever: The Fastest Growing Mosquito Borne Disease. Oxitec. October 2013. <http://www.oxitec.com/wpcms/wp-content/uploads/OXITEC-Dengue-booklet1.pdf>
- <sup>5</sup> James S, Simmons CP, James AA (2011) Mosquito Trials. *Science*, 334(6057), 771-772.
- <sup>6</sup> Wolbers, M., Kleinschmidt, I., Simmons, C. P., & Donnelly, C. A. (2012). Considerations in the Design of Clinical Trials to Test Novel Entomological Approaches to Dengue Control. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, 6(11), e1937.
- <sup>7</sup> Brazil to unleash GM-mosquito swarms to fight dengue. *New Scientist*. 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2014. <http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn25936-brazil-to-unleash-gmmosquito-swarms-to-fight-dengue.html#.U-s4o2NeKSr>
- <sup>8</sup> Engineering Mosquitoes to Spread Health. *The Atlantic*. 13<sup>th</sup> September 2014. <http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2014/09/engineering-mosquitoes-to-stop-disease/379247/>
- <sup>9</sup> DECRETO No. 089 de 10 de fevereiro de 2014 <http://aspta.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Decreto-Jacobina2014.pdf>

- 
- <sup>10</sup> Nagao Y, Koelle K (2008) Decreases in dengue transmission may act to increase the incidence of dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(6), 2238-2243.
- <sup>11</sup> Thavara, U., Tawatsin, A., & Nagao, Y. (2014). Simulations to compare efficacies of tetravalent dengue vaccines and mosquito vector control. *Epidemiology & Infection*, 142(06), 1245–1258.
- <sup>12</sup> Benedict MQ, Levine RS, Hawley WA, Lounibos LP (2007) Spread of the Tiger: Global Risk of Invasion by the Mosquito *Aedes albopictus*. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 7(1):76–85.
- <sup>13</sup> Vega-Rúa, A., Zouache, K., Girod, R., Failloux, A.-B., & Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R. (2014). High Level of Vector Competence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from Ten American Countries as a Crucial Factor in the Spread of Chikungunya Virus. *Journal of Virology*, 88(11), 6294–6306.
- <sup>14</sup> Miller MJ, Loaiza JR (2015) Geographic Expansion of the Invasive Mosquito *Aedes albopictus* across Panama - Implications for Control of Dengue and Chikungunya Viruses. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, 9(1), e0003383.
- <sup>15</sup> Technical Opinion on Examination Request presented at the 171<sup>st</sup> Plenary Meeting of the National Technical Commission on Biosafety (CTNBio), held on April 10th, 2014 Procedure: 01200.002919/2013-77 Applicant: Oxitec do Brasil Participações Ltd. <http://aspta.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Critical-vote-GM-Mosquito-jul2014.pdf>
- <sup>16</sup> Tsetsarkin KA, Vanlandingham DL, McGee CE, Higgs S (2007) A Single Mutation in Chikungunya Virus Affects Vector Specificity and Epidemic Potential. *PLoS Pathog*, 3(12), e201.
- <sup>17</sup> Paupy C et al. (2010) Comparative role of *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* in the emergence of Dengue and Chikungunya in central Africa. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, 10(3), 259-266.
- <sup>18</sup> Ali M et al. (2003) Use of a Geographic Information System for Defining Spatial Risk for Dengue Transmission in Bangladesh: Role for *Aedes Albopictus* in an Urban Outbreak. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 69(6), 634-640.
- <sup>19</sup> Rezza G (2012) *Aedes albopictus* and the reemergence of Dengue. *BMC Public Health*, 12(1), 72.
- <sup>20</sup> Duncombe J, Espino F, Marollano K, et al. (2013) Characterising the spatial dynamics of sympatric *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* populations in the Philippines. *Geospat Health*. 8(1):255–265.
- <sup>21</sup> Sirisena PDNN, Noordeen F (2014) Evolution of dengue in Sri Lanka-changes in the virus, vector, and climate. *Int J Infect Dis*. 19:6–12.
- <sup>22</sup> Grard G, Caron M, Mombo IM, et al. (2014) Zika Virus in Gabon (Central Africa) - 2007: A New Threat from *Aedes albopictus*? *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 8(2):e2681.
- <sup>23</sup> World Health Organisation (WHO) Questions and Answers on Dengue Vaccines: Phase III study of CYD-TDV. July 2014. [http://www.who.int/immunization/research/development/WHO\\_dengue\\_vaccine\\_QA\\_July2014.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/immunization/research/development/WHO_dengue_vaccine_QA_July2014.pdf?ua=1)
- <sup>24</sup> Malacca won't release GMO mosquitoes. The Rakyat Post. 14<sup>th</sup> July 2014. <http://www.therakyatpost.com/news/2014/07/14/malacca-wont-release-gmo-mosquitoes/>
- <sup>25</sup> World's first dengue vaccine may be ready by mid-2015. The Rakyat Post. 4<sup>th</sup> December 2014. <http://www.therakyatpost.com/news/2014/12/04/worlds-first-dengue-vaccine-may-ready-mid-2015/>
- <sup>26</sup> GM mosquito project shelved. The Star. 6<sup>th</sup> March 2015. <http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2015/03/06/GM-mosquito-project-shelved-Plan-not-cost-effective-for-implementation-says-Health-DG/>
- <sup>27</sup> French-made dengue vaccine available by mid-2015. The Star. 12<sup>th</sup> February 2015. <http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2015/02/12/French-Hilmi-Dengue-Vaccine/>
- <sup>28</sup> Potential new active substances for treating dengue virus. Medical News. 11<sup>th</sup> December 2014. <http://www.news-medical.net/news/20141211/Potential-new-active-substances-for-treating-dengue-virus.aspx>
- <sup>29</sup> Wallace HM (2013) Genetically Modified Mosquitoes: Ongoing Concerns. Third World Network. TWN Biotechnology & Biosafety Series 15. <http://twinside.org.sg/title2/biosafety/bio15.htm>
- <sup>30</sup> Lack of risk assessment for GM mosquito experiments is negligent, says GeneWatch. GeneWatch UK Press Release. 12<sup>th</sup> February 2014. [http://www.genewatch.org/article.shtml?als\[cid\]=566989&als\[itemid\]=574224](http://www.genewatch.org/article.shtml?als[cid]=566989&als[itemid]=574224)
- <sup>31</sup> Failures of the transboundary notification process for living genetically modified insects. GeneWatch UK Briefing. August 2014. [http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/CPB\\_insects\\_sub\\_Aug14\\_v2.pdf](http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/CPB_insects_sub_Aug14_v2.pdf)
- <sup>32</sup> Reeves RG et al. (2012) Scientific Standards and the Regulation of Genetically Modified Insects. Lehane MJ, ed. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 6(1), p.e1502.
- <sup>33</sup> Letter from Lord de Mauley, Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for Natural Environment and Science, to GeneWatch UK. Defra Ref PO355857/FA. 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2014.

- 
- <sup>34</sup> Letter from Director DG SANCO to GeneWatch UK. 13<sup>th</sup> March 2015.
- <sup>35</sup> GeneWatch UK comments on Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA) of GM mosquitoes in Panama. February 2014.  
[http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/GWcommentsERA\\_Feb14.pdf](http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/GWcommentsERA_Feb14.pdf)
- <sup>36</sup> Failures of the transboundary notification process for living genetically modified insects. GeneWatch UK Briefing. August 2014.  
[http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/CPB\\_insects\\_sub\\_Aug14\\_v2.pdf](http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/CPB_insects_sub_Aug14_v2.pdf)
- <sup>37</sup> Commercial Release of strain OX513A of *Aedes aegypti* - Technical Report 3964/2014- Process 01200.002919/2013-77. <https://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=105833>
- <sup>38</sup> Nota Técnica da Abrasco frente à liberação comercial de mosquitos transgênicos pela CTNBio. ABRASCO. 19<sup>th</sup> September 2014. <http://www.abrasco.org.br/site/2014/09/nota-tecnica-da-abrasco-frente-a-liberacao-comercial-de-mosquitos-transgenicos-pela-ctnbio/>
- <sup>39</sup> Brazilian state wants to stop release of GM mosquitoes. Turkish Weekly. 18<sup>th</sup> March 2015.  
<http://www.turkishweekly.net/news/181938/brazilian-state-wants-to-stop-release-of-gm-mosquitoes.html>
- <sup>40</sup> Macer, D. Ethical, legal and social issues of genetically modified disease vectors in public health. TDR (2003). [http://www.who.int/tdr/publications/tdr-research-publications/seb\\_topic1/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/tdr/publications/tdr-research-publications/seb_topic1/en/index.html)
- <sup>41</sup> Macer D (2005) Ethical, legal and social issues of genetically modifying insect vectors for public health. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **35**, 649-660.
- <sup>42</sup> Phuc HK, Andreasen MH, Burton RS, Vass C, Epton MJ et al. (2007) Late-acting dominant lethal genetic systems and mosquito control. *BMC Biology*, **5**: 11. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7007/5/11>
- <sup>43</sup> GeneWatch, Friends of the Earth, Third World Network PR: Company conceals evidence that genetically modified mosquitoes may have high survival rate in wild (12th January 2012)  
[http://www.genewatch.org/article.shtml?als\[ciid\]=492860&als\[itemid\]=569476](http://www.genewatch.org/article.shtml?als[ciid]=492860&als[itemid]=569476)
- <sup>44</sup> Massonnet-Bruneel B, Corre-Catelin N, Lacroix R, et al. (2013) Fitness of Transgenic Mosquito *Aedes aegypti* Males Carrying a Dominant Lethal Genetic System. *PLoS ONE*. **8**(5):e62711.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3653897/>
- <sup>45</sup> Irving-Bell RJ, Okoli EI, Diyelong DY, Lyimo EO, Onyia OC (1987). Septic tank mosquitoes: competition between species in central Nigeria. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **1**, 243-250.
- <sup>46</sup> Barrera R, Amador M, Diaz A, Smit J, Munoz-Jordan JL, Rosario Y (2008). Unusual productivity of *Aedes aegypti* in septic tanks and its implications for dengue control. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **22**, 62-69.
- <sup>47</sup> Beserra EB, Fernandes CRM, de Sousa JT, de Freitas EM, Santos KD (2010). Efeito da qualidade da água no ciclo de vida e na atração para oviposição de *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Neotropical Entomology*, **39**, 1016-1023.
- <sup>48</sup> Burke R, Barrera R, Lewis M, Kluchinsky T, Claborn D (2010). Septic tanks as larval habitats for the mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* in Playa-Playita, Puerto Rico. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **24**, 117-123.
- <sup>49</sup> Hribar L, Vlach J, DeMay D, James S, Fahey J and Fussell E (2004). Mosquito larvae (Culicidae) and other Diptera associated with containers, storm drains, and sewage treatment plants in the Florida Keys, Monroe County, Florida. *Florida Entomologist*, **87**, 199-203.
- <sup>50</sup> Barrera R, Amador M, Diaz A, Smit J, Munoz-Jordan JL, Rosario Y (2008). Unusual productivity of *Aedes aegypti* in septic tanks and its implications for dengue control. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **22**, 62-69.
- <sup>51</sup> Harris AF et al. (2011) Field performance of engineered male mosquitoes. *Nat. Biotech.*, **29**(11), 1034-1037.
- <sup>52</sup> Carvalho DO, Nimmo D, Naish N, et al. (2014) Mass Production of Genetically Modified *Aedes aegypti* for Field Releases in Brazil. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. (83). doi:10.3791/3579.  
<http://www.jove.com/video/3579/mass-production-genetically-modified-aedes-aegypti-for-field-releases>
- <sup>53</sup> Robinson AS, Franz G, Atkinson PW (2004) Insect transgenesis and its potential role in agriculture and human health. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **34**(2), 113-120.
- <sup>54</sup> Alphey N, Bonsall B, Alphey A (2011) Modeling resistance to genetic control of insects. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **270**, 42-55.
- <sup>55</sup> Dengue, where is thy sting? LA Times. 1<sup>st</sup> November 2012.  
<http://articles.latimes.com/2012/nov/01/world/la-fg-brazil-mutant-mosquitoes-20121102>

- 
- <sup>56</sup> Whitsett JA, Perl A-KT. Conditional Control of Gene Expression in the Respiratory Epithelium: A Cautionary Note. *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*. **34**(5):519–520. <http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1165/rcmb.F310>
- <sup>57</sup> Han HJ, Allen CC, Buchovecky CM, et al. (2012) Strain background influences neurotoxicity and behavioral abnormalities in mice expressing the tetracycline transactivator. *J Neurosci*. **32**(31):10574–10586. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0893-12.2012.
- <sup>58</sup> La liberación de moscas transgénicas en España tendrá que esperar. ABC. 12th December 2013. <http://www.abc.es/ciencia/20131212/abci-liberacion-moscas-transgenicas-espana-201312121050.html>
- <sup>59</sup> Genetically Modified Insect Factories: A New Source of Superbugs? GeneWatch UK Report. 4th February 2015. [http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/Antibiotic\\_GWbrief\\_fin.pdf](http://www.genewatch.org/uploads/f03c6d66a9b354535738483c1c3d49e4/Antibiotic_GWbrief_fin.pdf)
- <sup>60</sup> Zurek, L. and A. Ghosh (2014) Insects represent a link between food animal farms and the urban environment for antibiotic resistance traits. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. **80**(12): 3562-7.
- <sup>61</sup> Allen, H.K., et al. (2009) Resident microbiota of the gypsy moth midgut harbors antibiotic resistance determinants. *DNA Cell Biol*. **28**(3): p. 109-17.
- <sup>62</sup> Tian, B., et al. (2012) Long-term exposure to antibiotics has caused accumulation of resistance determinants in the gut microbiota of honeybees. *mBio*, 3(6) :e00377-12.
- <sup>63</sup> Levy, S.B. and B.M. Marshall (2013) Honeybees and tetracycline resistance. *mBio*, 4(1): e00045-13.
- <sup>64</sup> Phuc HK, Andreasen MH, Burton RS, Vass C, Epton MJ et al. (2007) Late-acting dominant lethal genetic systems and mosquito control. *BMC Biology*, **5**: 11. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-5-1. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7007/5/11>
- <sup>65</sup> Harris AF et al. (2011) Field performance of engineered male mosquitoes. *Nat. Biotech.*, 29(11), 1034-1037.